

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/725,384	11/29/2000	James M. Ziobro	D/A0125Q XER 2 0404	6573	
759	90 02/26/2004	EXAMINER			
FAY, SHARPI	E, FAGAN, MINNICI	GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA			
Seventh Floor 1100 Superior A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			2672	11	
	•		DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)	OCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	MED APPLICANT	FIRST NA	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NUMBER	
INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)							_
INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)				• .	•		
INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)		EXAMINER	. <u>L.</u>				
INTERVIEW SUMMARY All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)		•					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)	APER NUMBER	ART UNIT PAPER NUMB				• • •	. 1
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)	//	//	٠				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Thomas Tillander (3) (2) MotileWa Good Johnson (4) Date of Interview 122 p.4 Type: Type: Type: Type: The phonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Yes Yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. Was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: 4	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)		,MAILEU:		EW SIIMM	INTERVI		
(2) MotileNa Good Johnson (4) Date of Interview 122 D* Type: It Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes In o If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: H							
Date of Interview 122 D 4 Type: Determined Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. Was not reached. PROPOSED Anundment Agreement was reached. Was not reached. PROPOSED Anundment Identification of prior art discussed: ADULAGY et al., It S, Patent 5, US Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues Hat Kabulagi Applies A pattern to Pleky Pattern to Color. Applies Applies Applies Applies Applies Applies (Afuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary t		·):	nt's representative, PTO personnel)	participants (applicant, applica	All pa
Date of Interview 122 D 4 Type: Determined Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Agreement was reached. Was not reached. PROPOSED Anundment Agreement was reached. Was not reached. PROPOSED Anundment Identification of prior art discussed: ADULAGY et al., It S, Patent 5, US Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues Hat Kabulagi Applies A pattern to Pleky Pattern to Color. Applies Applies Applies Applies Applies Applies (Afuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary t		•		(3)	nder	Thomas Tillai	/1\ ·
Type: Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Color C	agen and a second			(°/	1 7 1	11 111 0	,
Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes @No If yes, brief description:		4.3	<u>: · · · :</u>	(4)	oa- jornson	NUTHEWA GOO	(2)
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: Agreement was reached. Claim(s) discussed: Abylag, et al. Its. Patent 5, based of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		•	<u>.</u>		2/04	e of Interview $\frac{1/2}{2}$	Date
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: Agreement was reached. Claim(s) discussed: Abylag, et al. Its. Patent 5, based of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	•	ant's representative)	annlicant Cannlica	vis aiven to	en Conference Personal (conv	e Vi Telephonic Televido	Tyne
Agreement was reached. Was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: H. (pRoposed brindhount) Identification of prior art discussed: Kaburagi et al., It, S, Patent 5, 68 Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues hat Kaburagi applies a pattern to the confirming color. Examinum 1 Line Least one "of the claims allowable is available, a summary the attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary the lit is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.		anto representativoj.				/	
Claim(s) discussed: 4 (PROPOSED BRUNDAMENT) Identification of prior art discussed: 200 Ray et al. 15, Patent 5, 68 Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that Kaburagi applies a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of the general nature of the capture of the capture of the interview. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary the attached.) A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary the attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the substance of the interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE			·	brief descriptior	onducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, b	ibit shown or demonstration co	Exhit
Claim(s) discussed: 4 - (PROPOSED BARNAGUENT) dentification of prior art discussed: Kaburagi et al., U.S., Patent 5, U.S. Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that Kaburagi applies a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues a patent of the general nature of the interview. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary the attached.) A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which would render the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary the attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the substance of the interview DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE	· -						·
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues—that kaburagi - applies—a pattern to the contrary. Applicant outplies a pattern to the chain and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claimst be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE SONOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	<u> </u>	151002)	endnunt	proposed and	m(s) discussed: 4	Clain
Applicant argues—that Kaburagi - Applies a pattern to every conficient of the substance of the interview. In the second of the substance of the interview. Applicant outplies a pattern to the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	10,230	nt 7,680,2	110, Pate	ali	: Kaduragi et	ntification of prior art discussed	Ident
Applicant argues—that kabueagi - applies a pattern to every conficting color. Applicant outplies a pattern to "at least one" conflicting color. Examinur 1 Kaburagi to be applied to "at least one" of the clams allowable is available, a summary to attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary to attached. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	<u> </u>			=			
Applicant argues—that kaburagi - applies a pattern to every conflicting color. Applies a pattern to "at least one" conflicting color. Examinur 1 Kaburagi to be applied to "at least one" of the clamust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the clamust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.		and the second of the first of the second of					
COLOR. Applicant Oupplies a participation of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFice IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	<u></u>	1/	I_{Δ}	nent was reache	of what was agreed to if an agreem	cription of the general nature of	Desc
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary trattached.) (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary trattached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICES NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	<u>v</u>	pattern To	ppiies a	eagi - 1	gues that Nabuk	Applicant arg	
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary trattached.) (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary trattached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICES NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	aHeen	és a patter	nt ouppli	Applica	color.	PNIPRU TOP	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the clamust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary that attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICES NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	1 1	- , / , /	1 1 1	11. 11.	. / //	1 1 1 100	
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the clamust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary trattached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICES NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	nteprets	KWATIAUK 1 AYRUK	1 1	TICHTY	1 4	YO OUT 180	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the clamust be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary trattached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICES NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	colors.	?" of the color	least one	to "a	TO be applied	KabuRagi	
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICES NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.					and a copy of the amendments, if a	U uller description, if necessary, it be attached. Also, where no	must
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIGURE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.			of the interview	f the substance	cant to provide a separate record of		
	ne last Office	3.04). If a reply to the last Offi	AL WRITTEN REPLY	ntrary. A FORM	peen checked to indicate to the con LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	ess the paragraph above has b IOT WAIVED AND MUST INC on has are ready been filed, Al	Unles IS NO action
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.					w.	STANCE OF THE INTERVIEN	SUBS
minimum control of the second	•			another form.	s form unless it is an attachment to	miner Note: You must sign this	Exan
					unioco n lo un anaomicin to	or roto. Tou must sight und	
FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98) All official form	l-Johnson	Hood-li	Al Aloura	\propto		w PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)	FORM

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of Interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An Indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copyof amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present:

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an Identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.